ORIGINAL RESEARCH

DcERF109 regulates shoot branching by participating in strigolactone signal transduction in Dendrobium catenatum

Yuliang Han^{1†} | Juncheng Zhang^{1†} | Sigi Zhang¹ | Lijun Xiang² | Zhonghua Lei² | Qixiu Huang² | Huizhong Wang¹ | Tao Chen¹ | Maohong Cai¹

1 Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory for Genetic Improvement and Quality Control of Medicinal Plants, College of Life and Environmental Science, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China

²Institute of Economic Crops, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Correspondence Maohong Cai, Email: caimaohong@hznu.edu.cn

Funding information Scientific Research Foundation for Scholars of HZNU, Grant/Award Number: 2019QDL015

Edited by J. Chen

Abstract

Shoot branching fundamentally influences plant architecture and agricultural yield. However, research on shoot branching in Dendrobium catenatum, an endangered medicinal plant in China, remains limited. In this study, we identified a transcription factor DcERF109 as a key player in shoot branching by regulating the expression of strigolactone (SL) receptors DWARF 14 (D14)/ DECREASED APICAL DOMINANCE 2 (DAD2). The treatment of D. catenatum seedlings with GR24^{rac}/TIS108 revealed that SL can significantly repress the shoot branching in D. catenatum. The expression of DcERF109 in multi-branched seedlings is significantly higher than that of singlebranched seedlings. Ectopic expression in Arabidopsis thaliana demonstrated that overexpression of DcERF109 resulted in significant shoot branches increasing and dwarfing. Molecular and biochemical assays demonstrated that DcERF109 can directly bind to the promoters of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2 to inhibit their expression, thereby positively regulating shoot branching. Inhibition of DcERF109 by virusinduced gene silencing (VIGS) resulted in decreased shoot branching and improved DcDAD2.2 expression. Moreover, overexpression of DpERF109 in A. thaliana, the homologous gene of DcERF109 in Dendrobium primulinum, showed similar phenotypes to DcERF109 in shoot branch and plant height. Collectively, these findings shed new insights into the regulation of plant shoot branching and provide a theoretical basis for improving the yield of D. catenatum.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Shoot branching is an important agronomic trait that affects plant architecture and yield potential, which is mainly controlled by a complex interplay of hormonal signalling, developmental processes, and environmental cues. Strigolactones (SLs) have emerged as a novel class of phytohormones with a multifaceted role in the modulation of shoot branching. Additionally, SLs serve as vital rhizosphere signals, orchestrating the interactions between plants and fungal partners, as well as with parasitic weeds. (Cheng et al., [2017;](#page-9-0) Q. Wang et al., [2022;](#page-10-0) Xu et al., [2021](#page-10-0)). Recent advancements have elucidated

considerable portions of the SL biosynthetic pathway in plants. Firstly, all-trans-carotene was isomerized into 9-cis-carotene by betacarotene isomerase DWARF 27 (D27). Sequential action of carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases CCD7 and CCD8 results in the formation of the key precursor carlactone (CL) through bond cleavage and oxidative rearrangement. CL is subsequently translocated to the cytoplasm and further oxidized and modified by cytochrome P450 enzymes (e.g., MAX1, CYP722C) anchored to the endoplasmic reticulum, thus generating bioactive strigolactones (Mashiguchi et al., [2021](#page-10-0)). In plants, D14/DAD2 functions as an α/β hydrolase, which serves as a noncanonical hormone receptor with the dual function of producing and perceiving the active SL (Yao et al., [2016](#page-10-0)). D14/DAD2, transported \dagger These authors contributed equally to this work. $\qquad \qquad$ through the phloem to the axillary bud, plays a pivotal role in

<https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.14286>

facilitating the full function of strigolactones in inhibiting shoot branching (Kameoka et al., [2016](#page-9-0)). Upon binding to SLs, the receptor protein D14/DAD2 triggers the assembly of a complex of SCF^{D3/MAX2} -D14/DAD2 to degrade the repressor protein DWARF 53 (D53) and its homologs SUPPRESSOR OF MAX2-LIKE 6, 7 and 8 (SMXL6, SMXL7 and SMXL8) via the 26S proteasome pathway, and ultimately to relieve inhibition of downstream genes (Jiang et al., [2013](#page-9-0); Wang et al., [2015](#page-10-0); L. Wang et al., [2020\)](#page-10-0).

Physiologia Plantarum

The AP2/ERF superfamily encompasses a diverse group of transcription factors that act as key factors in plant developmental regulation and the response to biotic and abiotic stresses (Licausi et al., [2013](#page-10-0)). Prior studies indicate a strong association between the AP2/ERF family and plant branching. For instance, overexpression of MtRAVs in A. thaliana increased the number of branches and stress tolerance (S. Wang et al., [2020](#page-10-0)). It has been reported that AtERF12 negatively regulated plant branching, and its homologous gene DUO-B1 in wheat has also been shown to affect the spike number (Chandler and Werr, [2020;](#page-9-0) Y. Wang et al., [2022\)](#page-10-0). In addition, Several AP2/EREBP-like genes are found to be significantly enriched during the domestication process of rice, suggesting a close linkage to the regulation of rice branching patterns by analyzing the transcriptome differences between domesticated rice and wild type (Harrop et al., [2019](#page-9-0)).

Dendrobium catenatum, a member of the Orchidaceae family, is a genus of herbaceous plants recognized for its stems that are rich in a variety of bioactive compounds, such as polysaccharides and flavonoids, which are beneficial to health (Wang et al., [2021](#page-10-0); Yan et al., [2015\)](#page-10-0). Given its pharmacological significance, D. catenatum is esteemed as an important medicinal plant in China and possesses substantial commercial value globally. The stem of D. catenatum serves as the synthesis and storage site of secondary metabolites, and its quantity has a great influence on the overall value of the plant. However, the mechanistic understanding of shoot branching regulation in D. catenatum remains relatively underexplored.

Here, we identified a novel member of the AP2/ERF family transcription factor DcERF109, which was highly expressed in the stem base of multi-shoot branched seedlings compared to single-shoot branched seedlings. The expression profile of DcERF109 in different tissues showed that DcERF109 is expressed ubiquitously in all tissues, with the highest expression in the stem base. Transient expression in protoplasts of D. catenatum and tobacco leaves showed that DcERF109 localized in the nucleus. To verify the function of DcERF109 in the regulation of shoot branching, we generated DcERF109 overexpression transgenic plants in A. thaliana. The transgenic lines displayed enhanced shoot branching coupled with pronounced dwarfism, a clear demonstration of the phenotypic influence of DcERF109. Further studies confirmed that DcERF109 represses the expression of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2 by directly binding to their promoter, thereby controlling shoot branching via involvement in the strigolactone pathway. Silencing of DcERF109 in D. catenatum seedlings by the VIGS system significantly decreased the emergence of new shoot branches. Altogether, our investigations have enriched the current understanding of the ERF gene family function and

the regulatory mechanism of plant branching. It may potentially contribute to the enhancement of the quality and economic value of D. catenatum.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plant materials and growth conditions

'Honggan ruanjiao', a well-known D. catenatum variety, was used in this study. Three-month-old tissue culture seedlings were cultured in a growth medium (3.21 g L^{-1} B5 medium powder, 0.5 mg L^{-1} NAA, 10% banana extract, 30 g L^{-1} sucrose) and grew at 25°C. The photoperiod is 12/12 h light/dark.

For A. thaliana transgenic plants, the Agrobacterium tumefaciensmediated flower dip method was used to obtain transgenic A. thaliana lines. Detailed procedures were performed following previously validated mature transgenic protocols (Bechtold and Pelletier, [1998;](#page-9-0) Zhang et al., [2006\)](#page-10-0). The Columbia (Col-0) was used as a wild type. For the cultivation of A. thaliana, sterilized seeds were soaked in ddH₂O and incubated at 4° C for 3 days. They were then placed on Petri dishes containing 1/2 MS, 1% sucrose, and 1.2% agar, and grew in a culture chamber with 60–80 μ mol m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ at 16/8 h light/dark and 22°C (Yu et al., [2012\)](#page-10-0).

2.2 | RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from D. catenatum seedlings by using the Total RNA Extract Reagent (Coolaber). cDNAs were synthesized by HiScript II Q Select RT SuperMix for RT-qPCR (Vazyme). RT-qPCR was performed on a CFX384 real-time system (BIO-RAD) with ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme). Primers used in this assay are listed in Table [S1](#page-11-0).

2.3 | Subcellular localization of DcERF109

The full-length coding sequences of DcERF109 were amplified and cloned into the pEarleyGate 101 vector to produce the DcERF109-GFP fusion protein. To observe the localization in protoplasts of D. catenatum, the matured leaves of six-month-old seedlings were used for protoplast transformation. The detailed method was referred to in a previous study (Chai et al., [2007](#page-9-0); Han et al., [2022\)](#page-9-0). Briefly, 10 μg plasmid was transformed into 100 μL protoplast suspension, and the fluorescence signal was detected by a confocal microscope after 12 h incubation.

For transient expression, the activated EHA105 agrobacterium containing the constructed plasmids was incubated with infection solution (10 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 200 μ M AS) for at least 30 min and then injected into the four-week-old young leaves of N. benthamiana (tobacco). After about 48–72 h incubation, the

2.4 | Yeast one-hybrid and Yeast Two-Hybrid (Y2H) Assays

For Y1H, the full-length coding region of DcERF109 was cloned into the pB42AD vector. The full promoter $(\sim 2 \text{ kb})$ of AtD14/DcDAD2.2 and their truncated fragments, including P1-P4, were cloned into the pLacZi vector, respectively (Lin et al., [2007](#page-10-0)). The above constructs were co-transformed into EGY48 and selected on SD/-Ura/-Trp agar medium (Coolaber). Colonies were finally plated onto SD/-Ura/-Trp agar medium containing raffinose, galactose, and X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-galactopyranoside) for binding strength detec-tion. Primers used in this assay are listed in Table [S1.](#page-11-0)

For Y2H, the full-length CDS and three truncated fragments of DcERF109 were cloned into the pGBKT7 vector. The above constructs were co-transformed into AH109 with empty pGADT7 using the Yeastmaker Yeast Transformation System (Clontech). Transformation cells were plated on SD/-Trp/-Leu medium (DDO) to screen positive clones. After 3 days, positive clones were transferred to SD/-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp dropout medium (QDO) to detect selfactivation. Primers used in this assay are listed in Table [S1](#page-11-0).

2.5 | Luciferase reporter assays

For luciferase reporter assay (Xie et al., [2017](#page-10-0)), the full-length coding region of DcERF109 was cloned into pGWB512 to generate the p35S: DcERF109-Flag effector construct. The promoter of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2 upstream 2000 bp of the ATG starting codon and their truncated fragments (P1-P4) were ligated into the pGreenII0800-LUC vector to generate the corresponding reporter constructs. These constructed plasmids were introduced into Agrobacterium strain EHA105. The specific methods refer to the above tobacco leaves transient expression. After 3 days, the injected leaves were sprayed with 1 mmol/L luciferin (E1601, Promega) for 1 min, and luciferase activities were measured using the Plant Living Imaging System (Berthold). Primers used for this assay are listed in Table [S1](#page-11-0).

2.6 | GUS staining

For GUS activity detection, the promoter of DcERF109 was ligated into the pCAMBIA1381Z vector to generate the corresponding reporter construct. This construct was introduced into A. thaliana wild-type plants(Col-0) by agrobacterium-mediated infiltration (Bechtold and Pelletier, [1998\)](#page-9-0). Transgenic plants were selected on MS agar plates containing 50 μg mL $^{-1}$ hygromycin and stained in GUS staining buffer for 12 h at 37° C. Stained seedlings were first decolourized with 75% ethanol and then observed and recorded with a camera. GUS staining was done with the Coolaber reagent, as stated in the manual.

Physiologia Plantarum

2.7 | Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of DcERF109 in D. catenatum

DcERF109 was silenced using the VIGS system mediated by tobacco rattle virus (TRV) in this study. A 305 bp fragment of DcERF109 was amplified and inserted into the pTRV2 to form the pTRV2-DcERF109 construct. pTRV2, pTRV2-DcERF109 and pTRV1 were transformed individually into Agrobacterium EHA105. The Agrobacterium strains were shaken in LB liquid medium overnight, collected by centrifugation, and resuspended to an $OD₆₀₀$ of 1.0 in infiltration buffer (10 mM MES, 10 mM $MgCl₂$, and 0.2 mM acetosyringone). Finally, two Agrobacterium suspensions containing (1) pTRV1 and pTRV2-DcERF109 and (2) pTRV1 and pTRV2 in a 1:1 (v/v) ratio were prepared.

D. catenatum seedlings were infected when they were about 10 cm in length, and they should be made sure they have not sprouted new stem branches. Several wounds were carefully scratched on their stems with a blade to facilitate infection. They were then soaked in infiltration buffer for 3 h in the dark and applied vacuum to -0.08 MPa for 3 min, abruptly breaking the vacuum every 1 min by quickly unplugging the vacuum source from the desiccator. After soaking for an additional 6–8 h in the dark, the seedlings were transferred to the nutrient soil containing pine bark for 15 days.

2.8 | Sequence alignment and domain analysis

All available ERF109 homologous gene sequences were downloaded from NCBI [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)). The Batch Web CD-Search tool [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi) (Lu et al., [2020](#page-10-0)) was used to perform domain analysis of all downloaded proteins and visualized by TBtools software (v1.113) (Chen et al., [2020\)](#page-9-0). Sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree completed by the MEGA X software (Kumar et al., [2018](#page-9-0)).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | SL analogues GR24^{rac} and its inhibitors TIS108 significantly affect the shoot branches of D. catenatum

SL signals are known to regulate the number of shoot branches in var-ious plant species (de Jong et al., [2014;](#page-9-0) Kerr et al., [2021;](#page-9-0) Liang et al., [2010](#page-10-0)). To test if SL has functions in controlling shoot branching of D. catenatum, we employed the synthetic SL analogue GR24^{rac} and the SL biosynthesis inhibitor TIS108. The two compounds were added to the growth media for D. catenatum seedling treatment. As shown in Figure 1[A,](#page-3-0) compared to the control group, the emergence of new shoot branches of seedlings treated with GR24rac was significantly reduced, whereas TIS108-treated seedlings manifested an increase in shoot branches. This distinction became more pronounced after an extended culture period of 70 days (Figure $1B$ $1B$). These results suggested that SL plays a vital function in inhibiting the shoot branching

FIGURE 1 GR24^{rac} and TIS108 affect D. catenatum shoot branches.

A, Top row: Treatment of D. catenatum seedlings by 5 μM GR24rac and 3 μ M TIS108 (n = 3) for 30 days. Bottom row: Enlarged images of the white box in the top row. Bar = 2 cm. **B**, $GR24^{rac}/TIS108$ treatment of D. catenatum seedlings for 70 days. Bar = 2 cm. C, Statistics of the number of shoot branches in different treatment groups. Values are presented as means \pm SD (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, Student's t-test).

in D. catenatum, which revealed the conservative function of SL in regulating plant shoot branching.

3.2 | Shoot branching of D. catenatum is closely related to SL signal and DcERF109 expression

D14/DAD2 serves as the core sensing receptor in the SL signalling cascade. To further investigate the correlation between the shoot branching and SL signals in D. catenatum, two types of seedlings (single-shoot branched and multi-shoot branched) were selected (Figure 2[A](#page-4-0)). RNA was extracted from the base of their stems for the expression evaluation of two D14 homologous genes DAD2.1

(LOC110104816) and DAD2.2 (LOC110095742) in D. catenatum (Simons et al., [2007](#page-10-0); Hamiaux et al., [2012\)](#page-9-0). RT-qPCR analysis indicated that the expression level of DcDAD2.2 in the multi-shoot branched plants significantly decreased compared to single-shoot branched plants, whereas no significant change was observed for DcDAD2.1. (Figure 2[B\)](#page-4-0).

Based on the AP2/ERF gene family transcriptome data that we compiled previously (Han et al., [2022](#page-9-0)), 10 AP2/ERF family genes were selected to assess their expression patterns in these two samples. The results showed that the expression levels of DcERF109, DcERF5, and DcERF71 were higher in the stem base of multi-shoot branched plants, and the expression levels of DcERF105, DcERF25, and DcPTI5 were higher in single shoot branched plants (Figure 2[C](#page-4-0)). Notably, DcERF109 stood out with an approximately 10-fold upsurge in expression within in multi-shoot branched plants. Tissue expression analysis revealed that DcERF109 was expressed ubiquitously in all tissues, with predominant expression at the stem base of D. catenatum (Figure 2[D\)](#page-4-0). Furthermore, the *pDcERF109: GUS* transgenic plants also showed that DcERF109 has strong expression in rosette base and roots (Figure [S1\)](#page-11-0). These data indicated that DcERF109 may play a vital role in controlling the shoot branching of D. catenatum.

3.3 | DcERF109 encodes a nuclear-localized transcription factor

Our previous investigations showed that DcERF109 encodes an AP2/ERF transcription factor with a conserved AP2 domain (Han et al., [2022\)](#page-9-0) (Figure [3A\)](#page-4-0). To elucidate the function of DcERF109, we generated DcERF109-GFP fusion construct and transferred it into protoplasts of D. catenatum and N. benthamiana (tobacco) leaves through transient transformation assay. Free GFP was used as control. Consistent with the characteristics of transcription factors, the DcERF109-GFP fusion protein only appeared exclusively in the nucleus (Figure [3B](#page-4-0)). Transactivation activity assay showed that DcERF109 had self-activating properties (Figure [3C\)](#page-4-0), and the selfactivating properties existed in the N terminal (1–115 aa) and C terminal (180–232 aa) segments, while not the middle region (116–179 aa), which encompasses the AP2 domain.

3.4 | Overexpression of DcERF109 in A. thaliana results in dwarfing and multi-shoot branched phenotype

Due to the inherent challenges associated with generating stably transformed D. catenatum, we ectopically expressed DcERF109 in A. thaliana ecotype Col-0 to reveal the effect of DcERF109 on plant shoot branching. The expression levels of DcERF109 in positive transgenic plants were detected by RT-qPCR (Figure [S2](#page-11-0)), and three transgenic lines with moderate expression levels (OE1, OE2 and OE3) were selected for further analysis (Figure [4A\)](#page-5-0). Phenotype assessments revealed that the shoot branching number of transgenic lines has

FIGURE 2 Expression analysis of DAD2.1 , DAD2.2, and 10 AP2/ERF family genes in single and multi-branching plants. A, Plant phenotypes of single-shoot branched and multi-shoot branched D. $\emph{catenatum seedlings. Bar = 1 cm. B,$ the Expression level of two D14 homologous genes in D. catenatum at the stem base of single-shoot branched and multi-shoot branched plants. Values are presented as means \pm SD (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, Student's t-test). C, Expression patterns of selected AP2/ERF family genes between single-shoot branched and multi-shoot branched plants. Values are presented as means \pm SD (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, Student's t-test). D, Cartoon heat map of DcERF109 in different parts of D. catenatum . DcACTIN2 was used as an internal control. Values are presented as means \pm SD (n $=$ 3, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, Student's t-test).

 (A)

A, Structure model of DcERF109. The red circle indicates the AP2 domain position. B, Subcellular localization of DcERF109-GFP protein in D. catenatum protoplasts (first row) and the leaf cells of tobacco (second row). Free GFP was used as control (third row). NLS-mCherry was used as a nuclear marker. Bars = 20 μm. The fluorescence signals were detected by confocal microscope. C, Yeast twohybrid validation of DcERF109 selfactivation regions. The transformed yeast cells were plated on DDO (SD/ Trp/ Leu) and QDO (SD/ Trp/ Leu/-His/ Ade). Empty pGADT7 and pGBKT7 were co-transformed as negative control.

Empty

Empty

FIGURE 4 Plant phenotypes of DcERF109 overexpression lines in Arabidopsis.

A, Excessive shoot branches phenotype of 3 representative DcERF109 transgenic lines at a mature stage. Scale bar $=$ 5 cm. B-F, Statistics results of the shoot branching number (B), plant height (C), leaves number (D), leaves length (E), and leaves width (F) of Col-0 and transgenic plants. Values are presented as means ± SD (n = $12 * p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, Student's t-test).

significantly increased and meanwhile the plant height was reduced (Figure 4A-C). These data were consistent with the high expression of DcERF109 in multi-branched seedlings (Figure [2C\)](#page-4-0), which confirms that DcERF109 regulates the number of shoot branches. Leaf statistics showed that overexpression of DcERF109 in A. thaliana has almost no difference in leaf number compared to the wild-type (Figure 4D). However, there are significant changes in leaf size, including leaf width and leaf length since the lotus period (Figure 4E,F and [S3A,B](#page-11-0)). In addition, we also noticed that the root length and siliques of the DcERF109-OE lines were shorter than Col-0 (Figure [S3C-D\)](#page-11-0).

By checking the expression levels of DcERF109 in transgenic lines, we found that some lines had extremely high expression levels (Figure [S2\)](#page-11-0). Three representative lines, OE4, OE5, and OE6, were selected for further analysis. As shown in Figure [S4A,](#page-11-0) they appeared

to be a more severe dwarf and bushy phenotype. Statistical results demonstrated that the height of these lines was only 6 cm, while the height of OE1-OE3 and wild type was more than 20 cm and 30 cm, respectively (Figure 4C and Figure [S4B](#page-11-0)). The shoot branch number was also much more than the wild type (Figure [S4C\)](#page-11-0). All these results indicated that DcERF109 affected plant shoot branching and height in a dose-dependent manner.

3.5 | DcERF109 binds to the AtD14/DcDAD2.2 promoters to inhibit their expression

Strigolactone esterase D14/DAD2 is an α/β -hydrolase that can sense and cleave SLs. The RT-qPCR results have shown that DcERF109 was

Physiologia Plantarum

up-regulated in the stem base of multi-shoot branched seedlings compared to single-branched seedlings, while the expression level of DcDAD2.2 was extremely down-regulated at the same part (Figure [2B,C\)](#page-4-0). The expression of AtD14 also significantly decreased in the DcERF109-OE transgenic lines (Figure [S5](#page-11-0)). Based on the above results, we speculate that DcERF109 may directly regulate the expression of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2. Yeast-one-hybrid (Y1H) assay was performed, and results showed that DcERF109 can bind directly to the promoter of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2 (Figure 5A). To further confirm the binding region, we divided the two promoters into four fragments and named them P1-P4 respectively (Figure 5B). The Y1H results showed that DcERF109 protein bound strongly with P4 segments of both AtD14 and DcDAD2.2 promoters (Figure 5C).

To further reveal the regulation mechanism of DcERF109 on AtD14/DcDAD2.2, luciferase reporter assays were performed. We transformed sets of construct combinations into young tobacco leaves to test the transcriptional effect of DcERF109 protein on AtD14 /DcDAD2.2 expression. The activity of luciferase driven by AtD14 and promoter was significantly decreased when the DcERF109-Flag protein was added (Figure 5D), indicating that DcERF109 had transcription repression activity on AtD14 expression. Similar results were observed within DcDAD2.2 expression (Figure 5E). To further investigate the same effect on P4 fragments, we replaced the full-length promoter with the P4 fragment in luciferase reporter assays. As shown in Figure 5F and G, the expression levels of luciferase were repressed more significantly. The Y1H and luciferase reporter assay results suggested that DcERF109 represses the expression of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2 by directly binding to their promoters.

3.6 | DcERF109 silenced seedlings had fewer shoot branches

Based on the fact that DcERF109 overexpression in A. thaliana developed more shoot branches, we hypothesized that DcERF109 has a similar function in D. catenatum. To verify our hypothesis, DcERF109

FIGURE 5 DcERF109 directly bound to promoters of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2 and repressed their expression. A, Y1H showed that DcERF109 can bind directly to the promoter of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2. B, Schematic diagram of AtD14/DcDAD2.2 promoter. 2000 bp upstream of the ATG start code of each gene were used as promoters. Each of the P1-P4 fragment lengths is about 500 bp. C, Y1H results indicated that DcERF109 preferentially bound to the P4 segment of the AtD14/DcDAD2.2 promoter. D, the expression repression effects of DcERF109 on the full-length promoter of AtD14. E, the expression repression effects of DcERF109 on the full-length promoter of DcDAD2.2. F, the expression repression effects of DcERF109 on AtD14-P4. G, the expression repression effects of DcERF109 on DcDAD2.2-P4.

Physiologia Plantarum

was silenced using VIGS in 23 D. catenatum seedlings, and another 23 seedlings were used as control. Representative DcERF109 silenced seedlings were shown in Figure 6A. After 15 days of infection, 10 (43.48%) of the control seedlings began to grow new branches, while only 3 (13.04%) of the seedlings in the DcERF109 silenced group had grown a second shoot branch (Figure 6A,B). Two representative seedlings from each group were selected to test their gene expression levels. Compared with the control group, the expression of DcERF109 was decreased, and DcDAD2.2 was increased in the silenced seedlings (Figure 6C and 6D). These analyses showed that the reduced expression of DcERF109 was caused by TRV carrying the DcERF109 fragment, and in silenced seedlings, DcERF109 reduced the inhibition of DcDAD2.2, resulting in the retarded growth of new shoot branches.

3.7 | DpERF109 has a similar function in shoot branching and dwarfing

ERF109 has homologous genes in many species, including Orchids, Gramineae, Leguminosae, and others (Table [S2](#page-11-0)). To investigate the evolutionary relationship between the DcERF109 protein and other ERF109 proteins, a phylogenetic tree that contains 33 species was constructed by MEGA X, revealing that the phylogeny of these

species is closely related to their speciation (Table [S2\)](#page-11-0). In the phylogenetic tree, 9 monocot plants are grouped into the same cluster. Three of the monocots (D. catenatum, Dendrobium primulinum, and Phalaenopsis equestris) that belong to Orchid plants are classified into the same sub-branch (Figure [S6A\)](#page-11-0). Through further sequence analysis, we found that they all have an AP2 domain near the C terminal, and the gene structure of DpERF109 is most similar to that of DcERF109. The amino acid sequence alignment indicates that the homologous genes of ERF109 in the three Orchid plants have high similarity in the AP2 domain, especially DpERF109 (Figure [S6B\)](#page-11-0). Therefore, we suspected that DpERF109 also has a similar function in plants. To verify this hypothesis, we cloned DpERF109 from D. primordium and overex-pressed it in A. thaliana. As shown in Figure [S6C](#page-11-0), we observed significant dwarfing and excessive shoot branching in DpERF109-OE plants. These results suggest that the ERF109 homologous genes were highly conserved in Orchids and may have similar functions in orchids.

4 | DISCUSSION

Strigolactones (SLs), a class of plant hormones identified in recent years (Zwanenburg et al., [2016](#page-11-0)), play important roles in plant rhizo-sphere signal transduction (Tsuchiya and McCourt, [2012\)](#page-10-0), host-

pTRV2-DcERF109-1

DcERF109-2

pTRV2-

FIGURE 6 Silencing of DcERF109 resulted in decreased shoot branching. A, Shoot branching phenotypes of pTRV2 and pTRV2-DcERF109 silencing seedlings. White arrows indicate new branches. These photographs were taken 15 days after infiltration. B, Statistic analysis on the new shoot branching seedlings in pTRV2 and pTRV2-DcERF109 groups. Yellow and blue represent the seedlings without new shoot branches and seedlings with new shoot branches, respectively. C, Expression of DcERF109 in seedlings of pTRV2 and pTRV2- DcERF109 groups. D, Expression of DcDAD2.2 in seedlings of pTRV2 and pTRV2-DcERF109 groups. Values are presented as means \pm SD (** p < 0.01, Student's t-test).

parasite interactions (Yoneyama et al., [2010](#page-10-0)), as well as plant dwarfing and shoot branching regulation (Chesterfield et al., [2020;](#page-9-0) Liang et al., [2010](#page-10-0)). However, few studies have shown the regulatory effect of SL in Orchid, particularly in the genus Dendrobium. The stem of D. catenatum bears significant economic and medicinal value. In this study, we have demonstrated evidence that the AP2/ERF family gene DcERF109 affects the number of shoot branches by regulating the SL signal pathway. Firstly, we administered GR24^{rac} and TIS108 as substitutes and inhibitors of SL, respectively, to D. catenatum seedlings. The results substantiated that SL signals have a vital impact on shoot branching in D. catenatum. Secondly, we have demonstrated that there is a significant express difference of the DcDAD2.2, a receptor of SL, in the stem base of multi-shoot branched and single-shoot branched D. catenatum seedlings and the expression level of DcERF109 has almost the same times of reverse foldchange. Moreover, the ectopic overexpression of DcERF109 in A. thaliana and knocked down expression of DcERF109 in D. catenatum further elucidated its functional relevance. Conversely, VIGS-induced silencing of DcERF109 in D. catenatum produced an antithetical branching phenotype. By Y1H and Luciferase reporter assays, we demonstrated that DcERF109 directly binds and negatively regulates the expression of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2. Moreover, we found the specificity of the Orchid ERF109 gene and demonstrated that DpERF109 can also cause an increase in shoot branches. These results deepen our understanding of SL signal and AP2/ERF family functions.

4.1 | The function of ERF109 demonstrates interspecific variability

The ERF109 transcription factor is represented by orthologous genes across a myriad of species, manifesting a spectrum of biological roles within plant defence and stress responses. In A. thaliana, AtERF109 can respond to JA-mediated wound signals and prevent plant hypersensitivity by elevating ASA1 expression and directly inhibiting JAZ proteins (zhang and Zhao, [2019](#page-10-0)). Additionally, there is substantial evidence that AtERF109/AtRRTF1 plays an important role in ROS production and auxin-mediated tissue repair within A. thaliana (Kong et al., [2018](#page-9-0); J. Wang et al., [2020](#page-10-0); Ye et al., [2020\)](#page-10-0). The multifunctionality of ERF109 extends to the regulation of secondary metabolite accumulation. As demonstrated in Malus domestica (apple), MdERF109 modulates anthocyanin biosynthesis by interacting with MdLNC499 and MdWRKY1 (Ma et al., [2021](#page-10-0)). In Poncirus trifoliata (trifoliate orange), PtrERF109 contributes to cold tolerance by directly regulating the expression of Prx1 involved in the antioxidative process (Wang et al., [2019](#page-10-0)). Additional evidence indicates that ERF109 also has implications for salt tolerance and SA signal response in plants (Bahieldin et al., [2018](#page-9-0), [2016;](#page-9-0) Redwan et al., [2016](#page-10-0)). These clues suggest that ERF109 has shaped distinct functional roles imperative for plant adaptation and survival. Therefore, understanding the conserved and unique aspects of ERF109 function within each biological context holds substantial value for advancing agricultural biotechnology.

Physiologia Plantarum

4.2 | DcERF109 may have the ability to regulate other signal pathways

Among the characterization of transgenic Arabidopsis lines, we found that the expression level of DcERF109 was positively correlated with the proliferation of shoot branches and negatively correlated with plant height. Notably, the plant architecture of A. thaliana has been completely changed among the highest expression levels of sev-eral lines (Figure [S3](#page-11-0) and Figure [S4](#page-11-0)). Such marked phenotypic alterations are seemingly beyond the scope of SL regulation. Moreover, the phenotypes except shoot branching and dwarfing (Figure [S3\)](#page-11-0) prompt the conjecture that DcERF109 may extend its regulatory influence to additional signalling cascades. In corroboration, previous investigations have illustrated that the loss-of-function mutant mur3-3 in A. thaliana displays curled rosette leaves, abbreviated petioles, and stunted inflorescence stems due to a significant reduction in galactosylated xylose. Its phenotype is similar to those observed in the DcERF109 overexpression lines (Kong et al., [2015\)](#page-9-0). Conversely, the accumulation of ROS can cause oxidative stress, leading to severe damage to biological macromolecules such as proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids, ultimately leading to cell death (Gaber et al., [2012;](#page-9-0) Mittler, [2002\)](#page-10-0). Compared to wild-type Col-0, the DcERF109 overexpression lines displayed an elevated predisposition to wilting and oxi-dative damage (Figure 2[A\)](#page-4-0). The results contradict prior studies showing that SL promotes leaf ageing (Guo et al., [2021\)](#page-9-0). Therefore, it is hypothesized that DcERF109 may promote the accumulation of ROS within plant tissues through mechanisms distinct from SLmediated pathways.

4.3 | Orchid ERF109 proteins may bind TTG motif to regulate the expression of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2

Many studies have pointed out that the AP2/ERF family has a strong binding ability to the GCC box, DRE box, and CRT box (Feng et al., [2020;](#page-9-0) Nakano et al., [2006](#page-10-0); Riechmann and Meyerowitz, [1998\)](#page-10-0). However, in our investigation, none of the aforementioned motifs were found in the promoter regions of AtD14 and DcDAD2.2. Additionally, recent research has uncovered that ERF proteins can bind an alternate cis-acting element, the TTG motif (Qin et al., [2017](#page-10-0)). Comprehensive analysis of the cis-acting element displays a complete TTG2 (TTTTTTTGT) motif in the P4 fragment of the AtD14 promoter and a truncated TTG1 (AACAACAA) motif in the P4 part of the DcDAD2.2 promoter. These findings suggested that DcERF109 may attenuate the expression of downstream target genes by binding to TTG motifs, which needed more experimental evidence to validate the binding ability of DcERF109 to TTG motifs.

5 | CONCLUSION

In summary, our investigation has demonstrated that DcERF109 modulates shoot branching by regulating the expression of D14/DAD2.2. These insights advance the potential for genetic improvement of Dendrobium germplasm resources and serve to augment the economic value of this genus.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Physiologia Plantarum

Tao Chen and Maohong Cai designed the experiments; Yuliang Han, Siqi Zhang, Lijun Xiang, Zhonghua Lei, Qixiu Huang performed the experiments; Juncheng Zhang analyzed the data; Yuliang Han and Huizhong Wang wrote and revised this article; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation for Scholars of HZNU (2019QDL015) and the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant NO. LQ22C130001).

FUNDING INFORMATION

Scientific Research Foundation for Scholars of HZNU (2019QDL015).

Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant NO. LQ22C130001).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary materials.

ORCID

Maohong Cai D <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7180-7659>

REFERENCES

- Bahieldin, A., Atef, A., Edris, S., Gadalla, N.O., Ali, H.M., Hassan, S.M., Al-Kordy, M.A., Ramadan, A.M., Makki, R.M., Al-Hajar, A.S.M., El-Domyati, F.M., 2016. Ethylene responsive transcription factor ERF109 retards PCD and improves salt tolerance in plant. BMC Plant Biol. 16, 216. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0908-z>
- Bahieldin, A., Atef, A., Edris, S., Gadalla, N.O., Ramadan, A.M., Hassan, S.M., Al Attas, S.G., Al-Kordy, M.A., Al-Hajar, A.S.M., Sabir, J.S.M., Nasr, M.E., Osman, G.H., El-Domyati, F.M., 2018. Multifunctional activities of ERF109 as affected by salt stress in Arabidopsis. Sci. Rep. 8, 6403. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24452-6) [24452-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24452-6)
- Bechtold, N., Pelletier, G., 1998. In planta Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of adult Arabidopsis thaliana plants by vacuum infiltration. Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ 82, 259–266. [https://doi.org/10.1385/0-](https://doi.org/10.1385/0-89603-391-0:259) [89603-391-0:259](https://doi.org/10.1385/0-89603-391-0:259)
- Chai, D., Lee, S.M., Ng, J.H., Yu, H., 2007. L-methionine sulfoximine as a novel selection agent for genetic transformation of orchids. J. Biotechnol. 131, 466–472. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2007.07.951) [07.951](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2007.07.951)
- Chandler, J.W., Werr, W., 2020. A phylogenetically conserved APETA-LA2/ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR, ERF12, regulates Arabidopsis floral development. Plant Mol. Biol. 102, 39–54. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-019-00936-5) [1007/s11103-019-00936-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-019-00936-5)
- Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H.R., Frank, M.H., He, Y., Xia, R., 2020. TBtools: An Integrative Toolkit Developed for Interactive Analyses of Big Biological Data. Mol. Plant 13, 1194-1202. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009) [org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009)
- Cheng, X., Floková, K., Bouwmeester, H., Ruyter-Spira, C., 2017. The Role of Endogenous Strigolactones and Their Interaction with ABA during

the Infection Process of the Parasitic Weed Phelipanche ramosa in Tomato Plants. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 392. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00392) [2017.00392](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00392)

- Chesterfield, R.J., Vickers, C.E., Beveridge, C.A., 2020. Translation of Strigolactones from Plant Hormone to Agriculture: Achievements, Future Perspectives, and Challenges. Trends Plant Sci. 25, 1087–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.06.005>
- de Jong, M., George, G., Ongaro, V., Williamson, L., Willetts, B., Ljung, K., McCulloch, H., Leyser, O., 2014. Auxin and strigolactone signaling are required for modulation of Arabidopsis shoot branching by nitrogen supply. Plant Physiol. 166, 384–395. [https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.242388) [242388](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.242388)
- Feng, K., Hou, X.-L., Xing, G.-M., Liu, J.-X., Duan, A.-Q., Xu, Z.-S., Li, M.-Y., Zhuang, J., Xiong, A.-S., 2020. Advances in AP2/ERF super-family transcription factors in plant. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 40, 750–776. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2020.1768509>
- Gaber, A., Ogata, T., Maruta, T., Yoshimura, K., Tamoi, M., Shigeoka, S., 2012. The Involvement of Arabidopsis Glutathione Peroxidase 8 in the Suppression of Oxidative Damage in the Nucleus and Cytosol. Plant Cell Physiol. 53, 1596–1606. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcs100>
- Guo, Y., Ren, G., Zhang, K., Li, Z., Miao, Y., Guo, H., 2021. Leaf senescence: progression, regulation, and application. Mol. Hortic. 1, 5. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s43897-021-00006-9) [org/10.1186/s43897-021-00006-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s43897-021-00006-9)
- Hamiaux, C., Drummond, R.S.M., Janssen, B.J., Ledger, S.E., Cooney, J.M., Newcomb, R.D., Snowden, K.C., 2012. DAD2 Is an α/β Hydrolase Likely to Be Involved in the Perception of the Plant Branching Hormone, Strigolactone. Curr. Biol. 22, 2032–2036. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.007) [1016/j.cub.2012.08.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.007)
- Han, Y., Cai, M., Zhang, S., Chai, J., Sun, M., Wang, Y., Xie, Q., Chen, Y., Wang, H., Chen, T., 2022. Genome-Wide Identification of AP2/ERF Transcription Factor Family and Functional Analysis of DcA-P2/ERF#96 Associated with Abiotic Stress in Dendrobium catenatum. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 23, 13603. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232113603>
- Harrop, T.W.R., Mantegazza, O., Luong, A.M., Béthune, K., Lorieux, M., Jouannic, S., Adam, H., 2019. A set of AP2-like genes is associated with inflorescence branching and architecture in domesticated rice. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 5617–5629. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz340>
- Jiang, L., Liu, X., Xiong, G., Liu, H., Chen, F., Wang, L., Meng, X., Liu, G., Yu, H., Yuan, Y., Yi, W., Zhao, L., Ma, H., He, Y., Wu, Z., Melcher, K., Qian, Q., Xu, H.E., Wang, Y., Li, J., 2013. DWARF 53 acts as a repressor of strigolactone signalling in rice. Nature 504, 401–405. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12870) doi.org/10.1038/nature12870
- Kameoka, H., Dun, E.A., Lopez-Obando, M., Brewer, P.B., De Saint Germain, A., Rameau, C., Beveridge, C.A., Kyozuka, J., 2016. Phloem Transport of the Receptor DWARF14 Protein Is Required for Full Function of Strigolactones. Plant Physiol. 172, 1844–1852. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.01212) [org/10.1104/pp.16.01212](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.01212)
- Kerr, S.C., Patil, S.B., de Saint Germain, A., Pillot, J.-P., Saffar, J., Ligerot, Y., Aubert, G., Citerne, S., Bellec, Y., Dun, E.A., Beveridge, C.A., Rameau, C., 2021. Integration of the SMXL/D53 strigolactone signalling repressors in the model of shoot branching regulation in Pisum sativum. Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol. 107, 1756-1770. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15415) [1111/tpj.15415](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15415)
- Kong, X., Tian, H., Yu, Q., Zhang, F., Wang, R., Gao, S., Xu, W., Liu, J., Shani, E., Fu, C., Zhou, G., Zhang, L., Zhang, X., Ding, Z., 2018. PHB3 Maintains Root Stem Cell Niche Identity through ROS-Responsive AP2/ERF Transcription Factors in Arabidopsis. Cell Rep. 22, 1350– 1363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.105>
- Kong, Y., Peña, M.J., Renna, L., Avci, U., Pattathil, S., Tuomivaara, S.T., Li, X., Reiter, W.-D., Brandizzi, F., Hahn, M.G., Darvill, A.G., York, W.S., O'Neill, M.A., 2015. Galactose-depleted xyloglucan is dysfunctional and leads to dwarfism in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 167, 1296–1306. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.255943>
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., Tamura, K., 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms.

Physiologia Plantarum

- Liang, J., Zhao, L., Challis, R., Leyser, O., 2010. Strigolactone regulation of shoot branching in chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflorum). J. Exp. Bot. 61, 3069–3078. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq133>
- Licausi, F., Ohme-Takagi, M., Perata, P., 2013. APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor (AP2/ERF) transcription factors: mediators of stress responses and developmental programs. New Phytol. 199, 639–649. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12291>
- Lin, R., Ding, L., Casola, C., Ripoll, D.R., Feschotte, C., Wang, H., 2007. Transposase-derived transcription factors regulate light signaling in Arabidopsis. Science 318, 1302–1305. [https://doi.org/10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1146281) [science.1146281](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1146281)
- Lu, S., Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M.K., Geer, R.C., Gonzales, N.R., Gwadz, M., Hurwitz, D.I., Marchler, G.H., Song, J.S., Thanki, N., Yamashita, R.A., Yang, M., Zhang, D., Zheng, C., Lanczycki, C.J., Marchler-Bauer, A., 2020. CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020. Nucleic Acids Res. 48, D265–D268. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz991) [10.1093/nar/gkz991](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz991)
- Ma, H., Yang, T., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Wu, T., Song, T., Yao, Y., Tian, J., 2021. The long noncoding RNA MdLNC499 bridges MdWRKY1 and MdERF109 function to regulate early-stage light-induced anthocyanin accumulation in apple fruit. Plant Cell 33, 3309–3330. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koab188) [10.1093/plcell/koab188](https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koab188)
- Mashiguchi, K., Seto, Y., Yamaguchi, S., 2021. Strigolactone biosynthesis, transport and perception. Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol. 105, 335–350. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15059) doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15059
- Mittler, R., 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci. 7, 405–410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02312-9) [\(02\)02312-9.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02312-9)
- Nakano, T., Suzuki, K., Fujimura, T., Shinshi, H., 2006. Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene family in Arabidopsis and rice. Plant Physiol. 140, 411–432. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.073783>
- Qin, L., Wang, L., Guo, Y., Li, Y., Ümüt, H., Wang, Y., 2017. An ERF transcription factor from Tamarix hispida, ThCRF1, can adjust osmotic potential and reactive oxygen species scavenging capability to improve salt tolerance. Plant Sci. 265, 154–166. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.10.006) [plantsci.2017.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.10.006)
- Redwan, M., Spinelli, F., Marti, L., Weiland, M., Palm, E., Azzarello, E., Mancuso, S., 2016. Potassium fluxes and reactive oxygen species production as potential indicators of salt tolerance in Cucumis sativus. Funct. Plant Biol. FPB 43, 1016–1027. [https://doi.org/10.1071/](https://doi.org/10.1071/FP16120) [FP16120](https://doi.org/10.1071/FP16120)
- Riechmann, J.L., Meyerowitz, E.M., 1998. The AP2/EREBP family of plant transcription factors. Biol. Chem. 379, 633–646. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1515/bchm.1998.379.6.633) [1515/bchm.1998.379.6.633](https://doi.org/10.1515/bchm.1998.379.6.633)
- Simons, J.L., Napoli, C.A., Janssen, B.J., Plummer, K.M., Snowden, K.C., 2007. Analysis of the DECREASED APICAL DOMINANCE genes of petunia in the control of axillary branching. Plant Physiol. 143, 697– 706. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.087957>
- Tsuchiya, Y., McCourt, P., 2012. Strigolactones as small molecule communicators. Mol. Biosyst. 8, 464–469. [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1mb05195d) [c1mb05195d](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1mb05195d)
- Wang, J., Nan, N., Shi, L., Li, N., Huang, S., Zhang, A., Liu, Y., Guo, P., Liu, B., Xu, Z.-Y., 2020. Arabidopsis BRCA1 represses RRTF1-mediated ROS production and ROS-responsive gene expression under dehydration stress. New Phytol. 228, 1591–1610. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16786) [nph.16786](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16786)
- Wang, L., Wang, B., Jiang, L., Liu, X., Li, X., Lu, Z., Meng, X., Wang, Y., Smith, S.M., Li, J., 2015. Strigolactone Signaling in Arabidopsis Regulates Shoot Development by Targeting D53-Like SMXL Repressor Proteins for Ubiquitination and Degradation. Plant Cell 27, 3128–3142. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00605>
- Wang, L., Wang, B., Yu, H., Guo, H., Lin, T., Kou, L., Wang, A., Shao, N., Ma, H., Xiong, G., Li, X., Yang, J., Chu, J., Li, J., 2020. Transcriptional

regulation of strigolactone signalling in Arabidopsis. Nature 583, 277– 281. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2382-x>

- Wang, M., Dai, W., Du, J., Ming, R., Dahro, B., Liu, J.-H., 2019. ERF109 of trifoliate orange (Poncirus trifoliata (L.) Raf.) contributes to cold tolerance by directly regulating expression of Prx1 involved in antioxidative process. Plant Biotechnol. J. 17, 1316–1332. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13056) [pbi.13056](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13056)
- Wang, Q., Smith, S.M., Huang, J., 2022. Origins of strigolactone and karrikin signaling in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 27, 450–459. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.11.009) [10.1016/j.tplants.2021.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.11.009)
- Wang, S., Guo, T., Wang, Z., Kang, J., Yang, Q., Shen, Y., Long, R., 2020. Expression of Three Related to ABI3/VP1 Genes in Medicago truncatula Caused Increased Stress Resistance and Branch Increase in Arabidopsis thaliana. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 611. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00611) [2020.00611](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00611)
- Wang, Y., Du, F., Wang, J., Wang, K., Tian, C., Qi, X., Lu, F., Liu, X., Ye, X., Jiao, Y., 2022. Improving bread wheat yield through modulating an unselected AP2/ERF gene. Nat. Plants 8, 930–939. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-022-01197-9) [1038/s41477-022-01197-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-022-01197-9)
- Wang, Yue, Tong, Y., Adejobi, O.I., Wang, Yuhua, Liu, A., 2021. Research Advances in Multi-Omics on the Traditional Chinese Herb Dendrobium officinale. Front. Plant Sci. 12, 808228. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.808228) [fpls.2021.808228](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.808228)
- Xie, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, Hai, Ma, X., Wang, B., Wu, G., Wang, Haiyang, 2017. Phytochrome-interacting factors directly suppress MIR156 expression to enhance shade-avoidance syndrome in Arabidopsis. Nat. Commun. 8, 348. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00404-y) [00404-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00404-y)
- Xu, Y., Wang, J., Wang, R., Wang, L., Zhang, C., Xu, W., Wang, S., Jiu, S., 2021. The Role of Strigolactones in the Regulation of Root System Architecture in Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) in Response to Root-Restriction Cultivation. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 8799. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22168799) [3390/ijms22168799](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22168799)
- Yan, L., Wang, Xiao, Liu, H., Tian, Y., Lian, J., Yang, R., Hao, S., Wang, Xuanjun, Yang, S., Li, Q., Qi, S., Kui, L., Okpekum, M., Ma, X., Zhang, J., Ding, Z., Zhang, G., Wang, W., Dong, Y., Sheng, J., 2015. The Genome of Dendrobium officinale Illuminates the Biology of the Important Traditional Chinese Orchid Herb. Mol. Plant 8, 922–934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2014.12.011>
- Yao, R., Ming, Z., Yan, L., Li, S., Wang, F., Ma, S., Yu, C., Yang, M., Chen, Li, Chen, Linhai, Li, Y., Yan, C., Miao, D., Sun, Z., Yan, J., Sun, Y., Wang, L., Chu, J., Fan, S., He, W., Deng, H., Nan, F., Li, J., Rao, Z., Lou, Z., Xie, D., 2016. DWARF14 is a non-canonical hormone receptor for strigolactone. Nature 536, 469-473. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19073) doi.org/10.1038/nature19073
- Ye, B.-B., Shang, G.-D., Pan, Y., Xu, Z.-G., Zhou, C.-M., Mao, Y.-B., Bao, N., Sun, L., Xu, T., Wang, J.-W., 2020. AP2/ERF Transcription Factors Integrate Age and Wound Signals for Root Regeneration. Plant Cell 32, 226–241. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00378>
- Yoneyama, Koichi, Awad, A.A., Xie, X., Yoneyama, Kaori, Takeuchi, Y., 2010. Strigolactones as germination stimulants for root parasitic plants. Plant Cell Physiol. 51, 1095–1103. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcq055) [pcp/pcq055](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcq055)
- Yu, B., Gruber, M.Y., Khachatourians, G.G., Zhou, R., Epp, D.J., Hegedus, D.D., Parkin, I.A.P., Welsch, R., Hannoufa, A., 2012. Arabidopsis cpSRP54 regulates carotenoid accumulation in Arabidopsis and Brassica napus. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 5189–5202. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers179) [jxb/ers179](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers179)
- zhang, G., Zhao, F., 2019. Jasmonate-mediated wound signalling promotes plant regeneration. Nat. Plants. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0408-x) [0408-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0408-x)
- Zhang, X., Henriques, R., Lin, S.-S., Niu, Q.-W., Chua, N.-H., 2006. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana using the floral dip method. Nat. Protoc. 1, 641–646. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.97) [nprot.2006.97](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.97)

12 of 12 HAN ET AL.

Zwanenburg, B., Pospíšil, T., Ćavar Zeljković, S., 2016. Strigolactones: new plant hormones in action. Planta 243, 1311–1326. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2455-5) [1007/s00425-015-2455-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2455-5)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Physiologia Plantarum

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Han, Y., Zhang, J., Zhang, S., Xiang, L., Lei, Z., Huang, Q. et al. (2024) DcERF109 regulates shoot branching by participating in strigolactone signal transduction in Dendrobium catenatum. Physiologia Plantarum, 176(2), e14286. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.14286>